This page is an example score sheet giveing details for the competition results of a single nomination, these details are by default only accessable by the participants, but could also be saved as pdf files and shared by others. Information on this page are for demonstrative purposes only.

|  | Total Score |  |  | anking |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SUM(FS) |  |  | VG(FR) |  |
|  | 2625 |  |  | 38th |  |
|  | Vote Section | FS | FW | FWS | FR |
| A | Design | 95 | 25\% | 23,75 | 15th |
| B | Functionality | 85 | 15\% | 12,75 | 12nd |
| C | Usability | 100 | 35\% | 35 | 1th |
| D | Ergonomics | 80 | 15\% | 12 | 52nd |
| E | Engineering | 75 | 15\% | 11,25 | 58th |
| F | Presentation | 85 | 50\% | 42,5 | 32nd |
| G | Innovation | 80 | 15\% | 12 | 35th |
| H | Technology | 90 | 15\% | 13,5 | 15th |
| I | Fun Details | 85 | 50\% | 42,5 | 31st |
| J | Market Value | 75 | 25\% | 18,75 | 42nd |
| K | Specific |  | 15\% | 13,5 | 15th |

Executive Summary
Best Score: Best section scores, considered by focus group was achieved in Usability Section Above Avarage Scores: Design, Funcionality, Innovation,
Technology. Below Avarage Scores: Market Value, Technology. Below Avarage Scores: Market Value,
Specific, Fun Details.


Global Weighted Totals

| Total Score | Average Ranking |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUM(TWS) | AVG(GR) |
| $\mathbf{8 7 7 6}$ | 28th |


| Vote Section | TS | SWS | TWS | GR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A Design | 87,5 | 30 | 2625 | 15 th |
| B Functionality | 84,25 | 15 | 1263,75 | 12 nd |
| C Usability | 83,25 | 10 | 832,5 | 17 th |
| D Ergonomics | 85,25 | 10 | 852,5 | 52 nd |
| E Engineering | 83,5 | 10 | 835 | 58 th |
| F Presentation | 88,75 | 5 | 443,75 | 32 nd |
| G Innovation | 84,25 | 5 | 421,25 | 35 th |
| H Technology | 86,75 | 5 | 433,75 | 15 th |
| I Fun Details | 82,5 | 5 | 412,5 | 31 st |
| J Market Value | 70 | 5 | 350 | 42 nd |
| K Specific | 61,25 | 5 | 306,25 | 15 th |

## Academic Group Jury

| Total Score | Ranking |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUM(AS) | AVG(AR) |
| $\mathbf{2 8 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 t h}$ |


|  | Vote Section | AS | AW | AWS | AR |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | Design | 95 | $50 \%$ | 23,75 | 15 th |
| B | Functionality | 85 | $35 \%$ | 12,75 | 12 nd |
| C | Usability | 90 | $15 \%$ | 31,5 | 17 th |
| D Ergonomics | 80 | $50 \%$ | 12 | 52 nd |  |
| E Engineering | 75 | $35 \%$ | 11,25 | 58 th |  |
| F | Presentation | 85 | $35 \%$ | 42,5 | 32 nd |
| G | Innovation | 80 | $50 \%$ | 12 | 35 th |
| H | Technology | 90 | $35 \%$ | 13,5 | 15 th |
| I | Fun Details | 85 | $15 \%$ | 42,5 | 31 st |
| J | Market Value | 75 | $25 \%$ | 18,75 | $42 n d$ |
| K | Specific | 90 | $35 \%$ | 13,5 | 15 th |

Executive Summary
Best Score: Best section scores, considered by focus group was achieved in Usability Section Above Avarage Scores: Technology, Market Value, Specific, Fun Details, Presentation Below Avarage Scores: Ergonomics, Usability, Design.


Strongest Points

| Best Score | Best Ranking |
| :---: | :---: |
| 95 | 8th |

Executive Summary
Best section score was achieved in Usability
Section, you were ranked 1st for the Focus Group Jury, 17th in Academic Group Jury and 5th in Professional Group Jury, overall global ranking was

## Weakest Points

## Worst Sec. Score Worst Ranking

45
487th

## Executive Summary

Worst section score was achieved in Usability
Section, you were ranked 312 nd for the Focus
Group Jury, 467th in Academic Group Jury and ranking was 487th. Group Jury, overall globa

## Professional Group Jury

| Total Score | Ranking |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUM(PS) | AVG(PR) |
| $\mathbf{2 8 9 5}$ | 22nd |


|  | Vote Section | PS | PW | PWS | PR |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | Design | 95 | $25 \%$ | 23,75 | 15 th |
| B | Functionality | 85 | $50 \%$ | 12,75 | 12 nd |
| C | Usability | 90 | $50 \%$ | 31,5 | 5 th |
| D | Ergonomics | 80 | $35 \%$ | 12 | 52 nd |
| E | Engineering | 75 | $50 \%$ | 11,25 | 58 th |
| F | Presentation | 85 | $15 \%$ | 42,5 | 32 nd |
| G | Innovation | 80 | $35 \%$ | 12 | 35 th |
| H | Technology | 90 | $50 \%$ | 13,5 | 15 th |
| I | Fun Details | 85 | $35 \%$ | 42,5 | 31 st |
| J | Market Value | 75 | $50 \%$ | 18,75 | 42 nd |
| K | Specific | 90 | $50 \%$ | 13,5 | 15 th |

Executive Summary
Best Score: Best section scores, considered by focus group was achieved in Usability Section Above Avarage Scores: Design, Funcionality, Innovation, Technology. Below Avarage Scores: Market Value, Specific, Fun Details.


## Competition Result

| Normalized <br> Score | Global <br> Ranking |
| :---: | :---: |
| SUM(TS) | AVG(PR) |
| $\mathbf{8 9 2 5}$ | 27th |

Executive Summary
Best section score was achieved in Usability Section, you were ranked 1st for the Focus Group Jury, 17th in Academic Group Jury and 5th in Professional Group Jury, overall global ranking was 8 . You are in op \%7th percentile and therefore winner of A'Design Award.


[^0]TS = Total Weighted Score, SWS = Sectional Weight Score, TWS = Total Sectional Weighted Score, GR = Global Ranking,
$\operatorname{SUM}(X)=$ Sum of All X, AVG(X) = Average of All X


[^0]:    Abbreviations: FS = Focus Group Score, FW = Focus Group Weight, FWS = Focus Group Weighed Score, FR = Focus Group Ranking,

